

FILED

13 JAN -8 PM 4:03

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF.
LOS ANGELES

1 ALAN R. BRAYTON, ESQ., S.B. #73685
 2 DAVID R. DONADIO, ESQ., S.B. #154436
 3 BRAYTON ♦ PURCELL LLP
 4 Attorneys at Law
 5 222 Rush Landing Road
 6 P.O. Box 6169
 7 Novato, California 94948-6169
 8 (415) 898-1555
 9 (415) 898-1247 (Fax No.)

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 BETTY DOUGLASS, as Wrongful
 14 Death Heir, and as Successor-in-
 15 Interest to PAUL DOUGLASS,
 16 Deceased, and BETTY
 17 DOUGLASS, LINDA WOLFE,
 18 GARY DOUGLAS, PAUL
 19 DOUGLAS, JR., TIMOTHY
 20 DOUGLASS, as Legal Heirs of
 21 PAUL DOUGLASS, Deceased,

22 Plaintiffs,

23 vs.

24 LOCKHEED SHIPBUILDING
 25 COMPANY, LAKE UNION DRY
 26 DOCK & MACHINE WORKS,
 27 WATERMAN STEAMSHIP
 28 CORPORATION,

Defendants.

No. **CV 13-00140** JL

COMPLAINT FOR SURVIVAL,
 WRONGFUL DEATH - ASBESTOS;
 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

I.

PARTIES

1. Plaintiffs in this action are the above-captioned successor-in-interest to, or the personal representative of the estate of Decedent; and the personal representatives on behalf of the legal heirs, or the heirs-at-law, of the Decedent, and are all hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiffs."

1 2. The person who sustained asbestos-related lung injuries and death as
2 a result of their inhalation of asbestos fibers through the person's occupational
3 exposure to asbestos, hereinafter "Decedent" is, with the date of death: PAUL
4 DOUGLASS died January 9, 2012. BETTY DOUGLASS is the spouse of PAUL
5 DOUGLASS and is hereinafter referred to as "surviving spouse."

6 3. Decedent sustained an asbestos-related lung disease and death by
7 precisely the following mechanism: the inhalation of asbestos fibers released
8 during the handling of asbestos-containing products at Decedent's jobsites. The
9 pathogenesis of Decedent's asbestos-related diseases is explained on **Exhibit A**,
10 attached to Plaintiffs' complaint and incorporated by reference herein.

11 4. All of Plaintiffs' claims arise out of a similar series of occurrences:
12 repeated exposure to asbestos-containing products manufactured, distributed,
13 and/or sold by defendants and supplied to, installed and/or maintained by
14 defendants at Decedent's worksites, over a period of years, caused from release of
15 toxic asbestos fibers and subsequent inhalation by the Decedent, resulting in
16 cumulative, progressive, incurable lung diseases.

17 5. Each Plaintiff claims damages for an asbestos-related disease arising
18 from an identical series of occurrences not dependent on Decedent's worksite but
19 on the fact that asbestos-containing products, when handled in the manner in
20 which they were intended, released harmful asbestos fibers which when inhaled by
21 Decedent, caused serious lung disease. The allegations of Plaintiffs regarding the
22 nature of Decedent's asbestos-related diseases, the nature of asbestos; the
23 propensity of asbestos to cause disease, the criteria for diagnosis of disease, are all
24 identical.

25 6. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all
26 times herein mentioned, defendants were and are corporations, partnerships,
27 unincorporated associations, sole proprietorships and/or other business entities
28 organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California,

or the laws of some other state or foreign jurisdiction, and that said defendants, and each of them, were and are authorized to do and are doing business in the State of California, and that said defendants have regularly conducted business in the State of California.

II.

JURISDICTION, VENUE AND INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

7. Jurisdiction: Plaintiff BETTY DOUGLASS is a citizen of the State of South Carolina. Plaintiff(s) BETTY DOUGLASS; LINDA WOLFE; GARY DOUGLAS; PAUL DOUGLAS, JR.; TIMOTHY DOUGLASS, are citizens of the following states, respectively: South Carolina, Oregon, Oregon, Virginia, South Carolina.

Defendants are each corporations incorporated under the laws of and having its principal places of business in the following States:

DEFENDANT	STATE
LOCKHEED SHIPBUILDING COMPANY	Nevada/Maryland
LAKE UNION DRY DOCK & MACHINE WORKS	Washington
WATERMAN STEAMSHIP CORPORATION	New York

This Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, in that it is a civil action between citizens of different states in which the matter in controversy exceeds, exclusive of costs and interest, seventy-five thousand dollars.

8. Venue / Intradistrict Assignment. Venue is proper in the Central District of California as a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claims asserted by Plaintiffs herein occurred within the County of San Diego, California, and Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district at the time the action is commenced.

///

1 **III.**

2 **CAUSES OF ACTION**

3 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**
 4 **(Negligence - Survival)**

5 PLAINTIFF BETTY DOUGLASS AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO
 6 THE DECEDENT PAUL DOUGLASS COMPLAINS OF DEFENDANTS
 7 LOCKHEED SHIPBUILDING COMPANY, LAKE UNION DRY DOCK &
 8 MACHINE WORKS, WATERMAN STEAMSHIP CORPORATION, THEIR
 9 "ALTERNATE ENTITIES," AND EACH OF THEM, AND FOR A CAUSE OF
 10 ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE ALLEGES:

11 9. At all times herein mentioned, each of the named defendants was the
 12 successor, successor in business, successor in product line or a portion thereof,
 13 assign, predecessor, predecessor in business, predecessor in product line or a
 14 portion thereof, parent, holding company, affiliate, venturer, co-venturer,
 15 subsidiary, wholly or partially owned by, or the whole or partial owner of or
 16 member in an entity researching, studying, manufacturing, fabricating, designing,
 17 modifying, labeling, assembling, distributing, leasing, buying, offering for sale,
 18 supplying, selling, inspecting, testing, authorizing, approving, certifying,
 19 facilitating, promoting, representing, endorsing servicing, installing, contracting
 20 for installation, repairing, marketing, warranting, rebranding, manufacturing for
 21 others, packaging, specifying, requiring, mandating, or otherwise directing and/or
 22 facilitating the use of, or advertising a certain product, namely asbestos, and/or
 23 other products containing asbestos. Said entities shall hereinafter collectively be
 24 called ALTERNATE ENTITIES. Each of the herein named defendants is liable
 25 for the tortious conduct of each successor, successor in business, successor in
 26 product line or a portion thereof, assign, predecessor in product line or a portion
 27 thereof, parent, holding company, affiliate, venturer, co-venturer, subsidiary,
 28 whole or partial owner, or wholly or partially owned entity, or entity that it was a

member of, or funded, that researched, studied, manufactured, fabricated, designed, modified, labeled, assembled, distributed, leased, bought, offered for sale, supplied, sold, inspected, serviced, installed, contracted for installation, repaired, marketed, warranted, rebranded, manufactured for others and advertised a certain product, namely asbestos, and other products containing asbestos. The following defendants, and each of them, are liable for the acts of each and every ALTERNATE ENTITY, and each of them, in that there has been a virtual destruction of Plaintiffs' remedy against each such ALTERNATE ENTITY; defendants, and each of them, have acquired the assets, product line, or a portion thereof, of each such ALTERNATE ENTITY; defendants, and each of them, caused the destruction of Plaintiffs' remedy against each such ALTERNATE ENTITY; each such defendant has the ability to assume the risk-spreading role of each such ALTERNATE ENTITY; and that each such defendant enjoys the goodwill originally attached to each such ALTERNATE ENTITY:

DEFENDANTALTERNATE ENTITY

LOCKHEED SHIPBUILDING COMPANY

LOCKHEED SHIPBUILDING AND
CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
PUGET SOUND BRIDGE & DRY DOCK COMPANY
PUGET SOUND BRIDGE & DREDGING COMPANY
ASSOCIATED SHIPBUILDERS

WATERMAN STEAMSHIP CORPORATION GULF SHIPBUILDING CORPORATION

10. At all times herein mentioned, defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, were and are engaged in the business of researching, manufacturing, fabricating, designing, modifying, labeling, assembling, distributing, leasing, buying, offering for sale, supplying, selling, inspecting, endorsing, testing, authorizing, approving, certifying, facilitating, promoting, representing, servicing, installing, contracting for installation, repairing, marketing, warranting, rebranding, manufacturing for others, packaging, specifying, requiring, mandating, or otherwise directing and/or facilitating the use

///

1 of, or advertising a certain product, namely asbestos and other products containing
2 asbestos.

3 11. At all times herein mentioned, defendants, their ALTERNATE
4 ENTITIES and each of them, singularly and jointly, negligently, and carelessly
5 researched, manufactured, fabricated, designed, modified, tested or failed to test,
6 abated or failed to abate, warned or failed to warn of the health hazards, labeled,
7 assembled, distributed, leased, bought, offered for sale, supplied, sold, inspected,
8 serviced, authorized, approved, certified, facilitated, promoted, installed,
9 represented, endorsed, contracted for installation of, repaired, marketed,
10 warranted, rebranded, manufactured for others, packaged and advertised, a certain
11 product, namely asbestos, and other products containing asbestos, in that said
12 products caused personal injuries to users, consumers, workers, bystanders and
13 others, including the Decedent herein, (hereinafter collectively called "exposed
14 persons"), while being used in a manner that was reasonably foreseeable, thereby
15 rendering said products hazardous, unsafe, and dangerous for use by "exposed
16 persons." In part, and without limitation as to other defendants, defendants
17 LOCKHEED SHIPBUILDING COMPANY, WATERMAN STEAMSHIP
18 CORPORATION and LAKE UNION DRY DOCK & MACHINE WORKS,
19 manufactured, modified, serviced and/or repaired asbestos-containing ships and
20 vessels.

21 12. Defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, had a
22 duty to exercise due care in the pursuance of the activities mentioned above and
23 defendants, and each of them, breached said duty of due care.

24 13. Defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES and each of them, knew,
25 or should have known, and intended that the aforementioned asbestos and
26 products containing asbestos and related products and equipment, would be
27 transported by truck, rail, ship, and other common carriers, that in the shipping
28 process the products would break, crumble, or be otherwise damaged; and/or that

1 such products would be used for insulation, construction, plastering, fireproofing,
2 soundproofing, automotive, aircraft and/or other applications, including, but not
3 limited to unpacking, preparing, using, sawing, drilling, chipping, hammering,
4 scraping, sanding, breaking, removing, maintaining, inspecting, "rip-out," and
5 other manipulation, resulting in the release of airborne asbestos fibers, and that
6 through such foreseeable use and/or handling "exposed persons," including
7 Decedent herein, would use or be in proximity to and exposed to said asbestos
8 fibers, which contaminated the packaging, products, environment, and clothing of
9 persons working in proximity to said products, directly or through reentrainment.

10 14. Decedent had used, handled, or been otherwise exposed to asbestos
11 and asbestos-containing products referred to herein in a manner that was
12 reasonably foreseeable. Decedent's exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing
13 products is on current information as set forth at various locations and
14 circumstances in **Exhibit A**, attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein.

15 15. As a direct and proximate result of the acts, omissions, and conduct of
16 the defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, as aforesaid,
17 Decedent's exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products caused severe
18 and permanent injury, damage, loss, or harm to the Decedent as set forth in
19 **Exhibit A**, attached to Plaintiffs' complaint and incorporated by reference herein.

20 16. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that
21 progressive lung disease, cancer, and other serious diseases are caused by
22 inhalation or ingestion of asbestos fibers without perceptible trauma and that said
23 injury, damage, loss, or harm results from exposure to asbestos and asbestos-
24 containing products over a period of time.

25 17. Decedent suffered from a condition related to exposure to asbestos
26 and asbestos-containing products. Decedent was not aware at the time of exposure
27 that asbestos or asbestos-containing products presented any risk of injury and/or
28 disease.

1 18. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid conduct of the
2 defendants, their "alternate entities," and each of them, Decedent incurred liability
3 for physicians, surgeons, nurses, hospital care, medicine, hospices, X-rays and
4 other medical treatment, the true and exact amount thereof being unknown to
5 Plaintiffs at this time, and Plaintiffs pray leave to amend this complaint
6 accordingly when the true and exact cost thereof is ascertained.

7 19. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid conduct of the
8 defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, Decedent incurred
9 liability for the reasonable value of medial care provided by Decedent's family
10 members measured by, inter alia, the costs associated with the hiring a registered
11 nurse, home hospice, or other service provider, the true and exact amount thereof
12 being unknown to Plaintiffs at this time, and Plaintiffs pray leave to amend this
13 complaint accordingly when the true and exact costs are known or at time of trial.

14 20. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid conduct of
15 defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, Decedent suffered
16 permanent injuries to his person, body, and health, including, but not limited to,
17 asbestosis, other lung damage, and cancer and related sequelae, and the mental and
18 emotional distress attendant thereto, and ultimately death, from the effect of
19 exposure to asbestos fibers, all to his general damage in the sums to be proven at
20 trial.

21 21. As a further direct and proximate result of the said conduct of the
22 defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, Decedent incurred
23 loss of income, benefits, entitlements, wages, profits, and commissions, a
24 diminishment of earning potential, and other pecuniary losses, the full nature and
25 extent of which are not yet known to Plaintiffs; and leave is requested to amend
26 this complaint to conform to proof at the time of trial.

27 22. As a further direct and proximate result of the said conduct of the
28 defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, Decedent's

1 exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products caused severe and
 2 permanent injury to Decedent, and ultimately Decedent died on the date previously
 3 stated herein.

4 23. Defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, and
 5 their officers, directors and managing agents participated in, authorized, expressly
 6 and impliedly ratified, and had full knowledge of, or should have known of, each
 7 of the acts set forth herein.

8 24. Defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, are
 9 liable for the fraudulent, oppressive, and malicious acts of their ALTERNATE
 10 ENTITIES, and each of them, and each defendant's officers, directors, and
 11 managing agents participated in, authorized, expressly and impliedly ratified, and
 12 had full knowledge of, or should have known of, the acts of each of their
 13 ALTERNATE ENTITIES as set forth herein.

14 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray judgment against defendants, their "alternate
 15 entities," and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

16 SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
 17 (Products Liability - Survival)

18 PLAINTIFF BETTY DOUGLASS AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO
 19 THE DECEDENT PAUL DOUGLASS COMPLAINS OF DEFENDANTS
 20 LOCKHEED SHIPBUILDING COMPANY, LAKE UNION DRY DOCK &
 21 MACHINE WORKS, WATERMAN STEAMSHIP CORPORATION, THEIR
 22 "ALTERNATE ENTITIES," AND EACH OF THEM; EACH FOR A SECOND,
 23 SEPARATE, FURTHER AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR
 24 PRODUCTS LIABILITY (SURVIVAL), COMPLAIN AS FOLLOWS:

25 25. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, as though fully set forth
 26 herein, each paragraph of the First Cause of Action herein.

27 26. Defendants, their "alternate entities," and each of them, knew and
 28 intended that the above-referenced asbestos and asbestos-containing products

1 would be used by the purchaser or user without inspection for defects therein or in
2 any of their component parts and without knowledge of the hazards involved in
3 such use.

4 27. Said asbestos and asbestos-containing products were defective and
5 unsafe for their intended purpose in that the inhalation or ingestion of asbestos
6 fibers causes serious disease and/or death. The defect existed in the said products
7 at the time they left the possession of defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES,
8 and each of them. Said products did, in fact, cause personal injuries, including
9 asbestosis, other lung damage, cancer, and death to "exposed persons," including
10 Decedent herein, while being used in a reasonably foreseeable manner, thereby
11 rendering the same defective, unsafe, and dangerous for use.

12 28. "Exposed persons" did not know of the substantial danger of using
13 said products. Said dangers were not readily recognizable by "exposed persons."
14 Said defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, further failed
15 to adequately warn of the risks to which Decedent and others similarly situated
16 were exposed.

17 29. In researching, manufacturing, fabricating, designing, modifying,
18 testing or failing to test, warning or failing to warn, labeling, assembling,
19 distributing, leasing, buying, offering for sale, supplying, selling, inspecting,
20 testing, authorizing, approving, certifying, facilitating, promoting, representing,
21 endorsing servicing, installing, contracting for installation, repairing, marketing,
22 warranting, rebranding, manufacturing for others, packaging and advertising
23 asbestos and asbestos-containing products, defendants, their ALTERNATE
24 ENTITIES, and each of them, did so with conscious disregard for the safety of
25 "exposed persons" who came in contact with said asbestos and asbestos-
26 containing products, in that said defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and
27 each of them, had prior knowledge that there was a substantial risk of injury or
28 death resulting from exposure to asbestos or asbestos-containing products,

1 including, but not limited to, asbestosis, other lung damages, and cancer. Said
2 knowledge was obtained, in part, from scientific studies performed by, at the
3 request of, or with the assistance of, said defendants, their ALTERNATE
4 ENTITIES, and each of them, and which knowledge was obtained by said
5 defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them on or before 1930,
6 and thereafter.

7 30. On or before 1930, and thereafter, said defendants, their
8 ALTERNATE ENTITIES and each of them, were aware that members of the
9 general public and other "exposed persons," who would come in contact with their
10 asbestos and asbestos-containing products, had no knowledge or information
11 indicating that asbestos or asbestos-containing products could cause injury, and
12 said defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, knew that
13 members of the general public and other "exposed persons," who came in contact
14 with asbestos and asbestos-containing products, would assume, and in fact did
15 assume, that exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products was safe,
16 when in fact said exposure was extremely hazardous to health and human life.

17 31. With said knowledge, said defendants, their ALTERNATE
18 ENTITIES, and each of them, opted to research, manufacture, fabricate, design,
19 modify, label, assemble, distribute, lease, buy, offer for sale, supply, sell, inspect,
20 service, install, contract for installation, repair, market, warrant, rebrand,
21 manufacture for others, package and advertise said asbestos and asbestos-
22 containing products without attempting to protect "exposed persons" from, or
23 warn "exposed persons" of, the high risk of injury or death resulting from
24 exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products. Rather than attempting to
25 protect "exposed persons" from, or warn "exposed persons" of, the high risk of
26 injury or death resulting from exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing
27 products, defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them,
28 intentionally failed to reveal their knowledge of said risk, and consciously and

1 actively concealed and suppressed said knowledge from "exposed persons" and
2 members of the general public, thus impliedly representing to "exposed persons"
3 and members of the general public that asbestos and asbestos-containing products
4 were safe for all reasonably foreseeable uses. Defendants, their ALTERNATE
5 ENTITIES, and each of them, engaged in this conduct and made these implied
6 representations with the knowledge of the falsity of said implied representations.

7 32. The above-referenced conduct of said defendants, their
8 ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, was motivated by the financial
9 interest of said defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, in
10 the continuing, uninterrupted research, design, modification, manufacture,
11 fabrication, labeling, assembly, distribution, lease, purchase, offer for sale, supply,
12 sale, inspection, installation, contracting for installation, repair, marketing,
13 warranting, rebranding, manufacturing for others, packaging, specifying,
14 requiring, mandating, or otherwise directing and/or facilitating the use of, or
15 advertising of asbestos and asbestos-containing products. In pursuance of said
16 financial motivation, said defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of
17 them, consciously disregarded the safety of "exposed persons" and in fact were
18 consciously willing and intended to permit asbestos and asbestos-containing
19 products to cause injury to "exposed persons" and induced persons to work with
20 and be exposed thereto, including Decedent.

21 33. Plaintiffs allege that the aforementioned defendants, their
22 ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them impliedly warranted their asbestos
23 and asbestos-containing products, to be safe for their intended use, but that their
24 asbestos and asbestos-containing products, created an unreasonable risk of bodily
25 harm to exposed persons.

26 34. Plaintiffs relied upon defendants', their ALTERNATE ENTITIES,
27 and each of their representations, lack of warnings, and implied warranties of
28 fitness of asbestos and their asbestos-containing products. As a direct,

foreseeable, and proximate result thereof, Decedent suffered permanent injury and death as alleged herein.

35. As a direct and proximate result of the actions and conduct outlined herein, Decedent have suffered the injuries and damages herein alleged.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray judgment against defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
(Negligence - Wrongful Death)

PLAINTIFF BETTY DOUGLASS, AS WRONGFUL DEATH HEIR, AND AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO PAUL DOUGLASS DECEASED, AND PLAINTIFF(S) BETTY DOUGLASS; LINDA WOLFE; GARY DOUGLAS; PAUL DOUGLAS, JR.; TIMOTHY DOUGLASS, AS LEGAL HEIR(S) OF DECEDENT, COMPLAIN OF DEFENDANTS LOCKHEED SHIPBUILDING COMPANY, LAKE UNION DRY DOCK & MACHINE WORKS, WATERMAN STEAMSHIP CORPORATION, THEIR "ALTERNATE ENTITIES," AND EACH OF THEM; EACH FOR A THIRD, SEPARATE, FURTHER AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE (WRONGFUL DEATH), COMPLAIN AS FOLLOWS:

36. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each paragraph contained within the First Cause of Action as though fully set forth herein.

37. The heirs at law of the Decedent and their relationship to the Decedent is set forth below:

<u>NAME</u>	<u>RELATIONSHIP TO DECEDENT</u>
BETTY DOUGLASS	Spouse
PAUL DOUGLAS, JR.	Son
GARY DOUGLAS	Son
LINDA WOLFE	Daughter
TIMOTHY DOUGLASS	Son

1 38. The individuals set forth as heirs constitute all of the surviving heirs
2 of the Decedent.

3 39. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of the defendants,
4 their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, as aforesaid, the exposure to
5 asbestos and asbestos-containing products caused Decedent to develop diseases
6 from which condition Decedent died. Plaintiffs were unaware that the death
7 caused by asbestos-related disease until within one year of filing the complaint.

8 40. At all times prior to his death, Decedent was a faithful and dutiful
9 spouse to the surviving spouse.

10 41. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of defendants, and
11 each of them, and the death of Decedent, Decedent's heirs have sustained
12 pecuniary loss resulting from the loss of care, society, comfort, attention, services,
13 and support of Decedent all to the damage of Decedent's heirs.

14 42. As a further direct and proximate result of the conduct of defendants,
15 and each of them, and the death of Decedent, Decedent's heirs have incurred
16 funeral expenses in an amount currently not ascertained.

17 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray judgment against defendants, and each of
18 them, as hereinafter set forth.

19 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
20 (Products Liability - Wrongful Death)

21 PLAINTIFF BETTY DOUGLASS, AS WRONGFUL DEATH HEIR, AND
22 AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO PAUL DOUGLASS DECEASED, AND
23 PLAINTIFF(S) BETTY DOUGLASS; LINDA WOLFE; GARY DOUGLAS;
24 PAUL DOUGLAS, JR.; TIMOTHY DOUGLASS, AS LEGAL HEIR(S) OF
25 DECEDENT, COMPLAIN OF DEFENDANTS LOCKHEED SHIPBUILDING
26 COMPANY, LAKE UNION DRY DOCK & MACHINE WORKS, WATERMAN
27 STEAMSHIP CORPORATION, THEIR "ALTERNATE ENTITIES," AND
28 EACH OF THEM; EACH FOR A FOURTH, SEPARATE, FURTHER AND

1 DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR PRODUCTS LIABILITY (WRONGFUL
2 DEATH), COMPLAIN AS FOLLOWS:

3 43. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, as though fully set forth
4 herein, each paragraph of the First, Second and Third Causes of Action herein.

5 44. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of defendants, and
6 each of them, Decedent's heirs have sustained the injuries and damages previously
7 alleged.

8 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray judgment against defendants, their "alternate
9 entities," and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

10 **IV.**

11 **DAMAGES AND PRAYER**

12 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray judgment against defendants, their "alternate
13 entities," and each of them in an amount to be proved at trial in each individual
14 case, as follows:

- 15 (a) For Plaintiffs' general damages according to proof;
16 (b) For Plaintiffs' loss of income, wages and earning potential according
17 to proof;
18 (c) For Plaintiffs' medical and related expenses according to proof;
19 (d) For Plaintiffs' cost of suit herein;
20 (e) For damages for fraud according to proof; and
21 (f) For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and
22 proper, including costs and prejudgment interest.

23 Dated: 1/7/13 BRAYTON❖PURCELL LLP

24
25 By: 
26 David R. Donadio, Esq., S.B. #154436
27 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
28

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs hereby demand trial by jury of all issues of this cause.

Dated: 1/7/13 BRAYTON♦PURCELL LLP

By: 
David R. Donadio, Esq., S.B. #154436
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

Decedent: PAUL DOUGLASS, Deceased.

Decedent's injuries: Decedent was diagnosed with leukemia on or about November 2005, with asbestosis on or about March 2002, and with asbestos-related pleural disease on or about October 2007

Decedent died on January 9, 2012.

Retirement Status: Decedent retired from his last place of employment at regular retirement age. He had therefore suffered no disability from his asbestos-related disease as "disability" is defined in California Code of Civil Procedure § 340.2.

<u>Employer</u>	<u>Location of Exposure</u>	<u>Job Title</u>	<u>Exposure Dates</u>
US Dept. of Defense	Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA	Machinist	5/1/1943-9/17/1943
US Navy	<u>TRIUMPH</u> (AM-323), Naval Station (32 nd St.) San Diego, CA	Fireman 2 nd Class	2/3/1944-10/12/1946
US Navy	<u>YMS 422</u>	Engine Man 2 nd Class	10/12/1946-11/10/1946
US Navy	<u>SCOTER</u> (AM-381)	Engine Man 2 nd Class	11/30/1946-9/17/1947
Jones & Laughlin Steel Company, 1121 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY	Jones & Laughlin Steel Company, Oil City, PA	Inspector	9/1947-9/1953
US Navy Reserve	<u>CAPRICORNUS</u> (AKA-57)	Engineman Diesel 2 nd class	10/12/1950-12/7/1950

///

<u>Employer</u>	<u>Location of Exposure</u>	<u>Job Title</u>	<u>Exposure Dates</u>
Howard White Whites Appliance Store 518 Main Street Clarion, PA	White Ford Sales Clarion, PA	Salesman	10/1953-6/1954
Franklin Auto Inc. Venango, Co. Franklin, PA	Ford Dealership/ Franklin Auto, Franklin, PA	Salesman	7/1954-9/1956
Pal Motors, Inc. 368/72 Seneca Street Oil City, PA	Pal Motors Dealership Oil City, PA	Salesman	4/1954-12/1954
Joy Manufacturing Company, 301 Grant Street, Pittsburgh, PA	Joy Manufacturing Company, Franklin, PA	Clerk	10/1955-6/1957
General Dynamics Corporation, 2044 India Road Charlottesville, VA	General Dynamics- Convair, San Diego, CA; General Dynamics Kearny Mesa, CA	Inspector	7/1957- 12/1964:
	U.S. Air Force Forbes Air Force Base, Topeka, KS		2 years
	U.S. Air Force Walker Air Force Base Roswell, NM		2 years
I-T-E Imperial Corporation 10 Gould Center Rolling Meadows, IL	Gould Inc., Downey, CA	Chief Inspector	1/1977-1978
Robinson Helicopter 2091 Airport Drive Torrance, CA	Robinson Helicopter Torrance, CA	Quality Control Manager	1980 (2 months)
Lennox Hearth Products, Inc., 1110 W. Taft Avenue Orange, CA	Marco Manufacturing Company, Lynwood, CA	Manager	1980-1986

FRICTION:

1935 Chevrolet: Decedent purchased this vehicle used. Decedent performed one brake replacement job on this vehicle in 1947. Decedent used his breath and a rag to wipe the dust from the brake drums. Decedent took the brake drums to HUMPHREY'S MACHINE SHOP in National City, California, to have them turned and to have the brake pads riveted to the brake shoes. Decedent completed

1 an engine overhaul on this vehicle, which included removing and replacing
2 asbestos-containing head gasket, water pump gasket, oil gasket and exhaust
3 gaskets. Decedent lightly sanded the replacement brake parts with an emery cloth,
sand paper and a block. Decedent purchased the replacement parts from an
unknown auto parts store in National City, California.

4 1948 Chevrolet: Decedent purchased this vehicle used. Decedent performed a
5 brake replacement job and partial engine overhaul on this car in 1950 or 1951,
6 which included removing and replacing asbestos-containing head gasket, water
pump gasket, oil gasket and exhaust gaskets. Decedent used his breath and a rag to
7 wipe the dust from the brake drums. Decedent purchased all replacement parts for
the Chevrolet from the GENERAL MOTORS dealer in Oil City, Pennsylvania.
8 Decedent took his brakes in for grinding to a shop in Oil City, Pennsylvania called
Dumpey. Plaintiff currently contends decedent was exposed to asbestos during
these automobile repairs.